

CHINA-U.S. EIGHT OUTCOMES: A FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGING STRATEGIC COMPETITION

By
Haris Bilal Malik

*Research Associate
China-Pakistan Study Centre (CPSC), ISSI
Email: harismalik@issi.org.pk*

Edited by
Dr. Talat Shabbir

October 6, 2026

*(Views expressed in the brief are those of the author, and do
not represent those of ISSI)*



The China-U.S. relationship remains one of the most consequential bilateral relationships in the international system. Competition between the world's two largest economies has expanded beyond trade to technology, artificial intelligence, supply chains, strategic security and regional affairs. At the same time, extensive economic interdependence and the global consequences of prolonged confrontation have created a shared interest in maintaining dialogue and managing differences.

Against this backdrop, Chinese President Xi Jinping's state visit to the United States from September 23 to 25, 2026, represented an important effort to stabilize bilateral relations. During his talks with U.S. President Donald J. Trump at the White House on September 24, the two leaders discussed bilateral relations as well as major international and regional issues, including economic and trade relations, artificial intelligence, Taiwan, the Middle East, Ukraine and the Korean Peninsula.

The significance of the visit lies not simply in the individual outcomes but in the effort to establish a framework for managing competition between the two major powers. The two sides agreed to build “a constructive China-U.S. relationship of strategic stability on the basis of respect, fairness, and reciprocity.” The visit produced eight outcomes and understandings covering strategic stability, multilateral cooperation, international waterways, historical ties, trade, counter narcotics, artificial

intelligence and people-to-people relations. The Chinese and U.S. militaries also agreed to conclude a memorandum of understanding on crisis communication and prevention.¹

From Strategic Competition to Strategic Stability

The agreement on strategic stability provides the overarching political framework for the outcomes of the visit. President Xi stressed that the two countries should translate this vision into action, with cooperation playing a greater role, competition remaining within appropriate limits, differences being managed, and peace remaining attainable.² This approach does not eliminate the structural differences between Beijing and Washington. Competition over technology, trade, strategic influence and regional security will continue. However, the emphasis on strategic stability indicates an effort to prevent competition from developing into uncontrolled confrontation.

The importance of this approach is particularly evident because the China-U.S. relationship has become increasingly interconnected across economic, technological and security domains. A dispute in one area can generate consequences in others. Sustained high-level communication and institutional mechanisms can therefore help separate areas of disagreement from areas where cooperation remains possible. The visit can consequently be understood as an effort to move from a relationship characterized primarily by competition towards one in which competition is accompanied by dialogue, risk management and selective cooperation.

Economic and Trade Relations: Institutionalizing Engagement

Economic and trade issues constituted one of the most substantive outcomes. Before the leaders' meeting, Chinese and U.S. economic teams held another round of consultations. The two presidents endorsed the results and instructed their respective sides to implement them. The announced outcomes include the establishment and advancement of mechanisms such as a Board of Trade, a US\$30 billion reciprocal tariff-reduction arrangement covering specified non-sensitive goods, and an extension of earlier outcomes reached through the Kuala Lumpur consultations.³ The United States also highlighted cooperation on agricultural market access and other economic issues.⁴

¹ China and the United States Reach Eight Deliverables and Understandings Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, accessed October 5, 2026, https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/eng/xw/zyxw/202609/t20260926_12031663.html?utm_source=chatgpt.com

² President Xi Jinping Holds Talks with U.S. President Donald J. Trump, accessed October 5, 2026, https://eu.china-mission.gov.cn/eng/mhs/202609/t20260925_12031181.htm?utm_source=chatgpt.com

³ Ibid.,

⁴ The White House, "Fact Sheet: President Donald J. Trump Advances a Fair and Reciprocal Relationship with China While Hosting Historic State Visit," The White House, September 26, 2026,

The significance extends beyond the immediate tariff measures. Institutionalized economic dialogue provides both sides with mechanisms for addressing trade disputes and market-access concerns on a continuing basis. President Xi emphasized that economic cooperation should be based on equality, mutual respect and mutual benefit, arguing that the list of cooperation should be expanded while outstanding issues are reduced.⁵ The agreement, however, does not resolve all structural disagreements over tariffs, technology restrictions, market access or supply chains. Its immediate importance therefore lies in creating greater predictability and institutional channels for managing these differences. For the global economy, greater stability in China-U.S. economic relations could reduce uncertainty affecting international trade, investment and supply chains. Given the central role of both economies in global production, developments in their bilateral relationship have consequences for developing economies, including Pakistan.

Artificial Intelligence: A New Area of Managed Cooperation

Artificial intelligence represents another significant dimension of the agreement. China and the United States agreed to establish a China-U.S. AI Dialogue to exchange views on the risks and benefits associated with AI, with the next round scheduled for November 2026.⁶ The two sides will also establish a bilateral communication channel for AI-related incidents. President Xi noted that China and the United States possess strong AI capabilities and argued that competition can coexist with greater cooperation. He called for dialogue on AI risks and benefits and for preventing the misuse and abuse of AI. President Trump also emphasized the importance of continued U.S.-China dialogue and cooperation on AI.⁷

The AI understanding is important because technological competition has become a major component of the broader China-U.S. relationship. AI has implications for economic competitiveness, scientific research, cybersecurity, defence and governance, while AI-related risks increasingly transcend national borders. The proposed dialogue does not signify an end to technological competition. Rather, it establishes a mechanism through which both sides can discuss emerging risks and potentially prevent technological competition from generating unintended consequences. For the international community, China-U.S. engagement on AI could also contribute to the emerging debate over international standards, safety and governance of artificial intelligence.

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/fact-sheets/2026/09/fact-sheet-president-donald-j-trump-advances-a-fair-and-reciprocal-relationship-with-china-while-hosting-historic-state-visit/>

⁵ President Xi Jinping Holds Talks with U.S. President Donald J. Trump.

⁶ Urgent: China, U.S. Agree to Establish AI Dialogue-Xinhua, accessed October 5, 2026, <https://english.news.cn/20260926/dc77e85b3b1f442abf0d816fbb65f320/c.html>

⁷ President Xi Jinping Holds Talks with U.S. President Donald J. Trump_Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China, accessed October 5, 2026, https://www.mfa.gov.cn/eng/xw/zyxw/202609/t20260925_12031181.html

Crisis Communication and Strategic Security

An important complementary development was the agreement by the Chinese and U.S. militaries to conclude an MoU on strengthening crisis communication and prevention. The two sides also agreed to continue cooperation in searching for the remains of missing U.S. service members in China. Military communication is particularly important in a relationship characterized by strategic competition. Effective communication mechanisms can reduce the possibility that an incident, misunderstanding or miscalculation develops into a broader crisis.

The Taiwan question remains a major area of strategic difference. President Xi reiterated China's position on national reunification and territorial integrity and called upon the United States to handle the Taiwan question cautiously.⁸ Thus, the crisis-communication arrangement should be viewed as a mechanism for managing differences rather than resolving them. Its importance will depend on whether the proposed mechanisms remain operational during periods of heightened tension.

Multilateral Cooperation and International Issues

The two leaders also agreed to support each other's hosting of the 2026 APEC Economic Leaders' Meeting and the G20 Summit, with both expressing an intention to attend the meeting hosted by the other side. This understanding reflects the continuing importance of multilateral platforms as venues for engagement between major powers. China will host APEC on 17-19 November in Shenzhen,⁹ while the United States will host the G20 on 14-15 December in Miami.¹⁰

Both leaders also agreed that Iran should honour its commitment not to develop nuclear weapons and that no country or institution should impose tolls on international waterways. They further discussed the Middle East, Ukraine and the Korean Peninsula. These discussions demonstrate that as major global powers and permanent members of the UN Security Council, both countries have important roles in addressing international security challenges.

⁸ Ibid.,

⁹ "APEC CEO Summit 2026 to Be Held in Shenzhen from Nov. 17 to 18," accessed October 6, 2026, https://english.www.gov.cn/news/202609/10/content_WS6aa2b5d7c6d00ca5f9a0d1fa.html

¹⁰ "United States Hosts First G20 Sherpa Meeting," United States Department of State, n.d., accessed October 6, 2026, <https://www.state.gov/releases/office-of-the-spokesperson/2025/12/united-states-hosts-first-g20-sherpa-meeting/>.

Counternarcotic and People-to-People Cooperation

The two sides also recognized progress in counter narcotics and law-enforcement cooperation, including joint action against cases involving new psychoactive substances and precursor chemicals. According to Chinese media, the cooperation has resulted in the apprehension of dozens of suspects in the two countries.¹¹ This represents an area of practical cooperation where shared interests can produce tangible outcomes despite broader strategic differences. The reference to the two countries' cooperation during the Second World War and the arrival of two giant pandas leased by China to Zoo Atlanta provide additional symbolic and people-to-people dimensions to the relationship. Although these elements are less strategically consequential, they contribute to the broader political atmosphere surrounding bilateral engagement.

Implications and Policy Options for Pakistan

Developments in China-U.S. relations have direct relevance for Pakistan because of its strategic partnership with China, its longstanding engagement with the United States, and its interest in regional stability and economic development. A more predictable relationship between Beijing and Washington could provide Pakistan with greater diplomatic and economic space, while continued competition could create new challenges.

- First, Pakistan should closely monitor the implementation of the China-U.S. trade arrangements and assess their implications for its exports, investment and participation in regional and global supply chains. Changes in tariffs, production patterns and investment flows could create both challenges and opportunities for Pakistan. Islamabad should therefore identify sectors that could benefit from changing global supply-chain patterns while strengthening its export competitiveness.
- Second, Pakistan should maintain constructive engagement with both China and the United States on the basis of its national interests. Rather than viewing developments in China-U.S. relations solely through the lens of major-power competition, Pakistan should seek opportunities for economic, technological and diplomatic engagement with both sides through established bilateral and multilateral mechanisms. Greater stability between the two major powers could provide additional space for Pakistan to advance its priorities in trade, investment, connectivity and regional cooperation.

¹¹ "Urgent: China-U.S. Counternarcotics Law Enforcement Cooperation Yields Results," accessed October 5, 2026, <https://english.news.cn/20260926/615707f9a5ba4d1aae696a916124f3e7/c.html>

- Third, the China-U.S. AI Dialogue is particularly relevant to Pakistan as it develops its own AI ecosystem and participates in international discussions on AI governance. As a founding member of World Artificial Intelligence Cooperation Organization (WAICO), a China-backed global AI governance body, Pakistan can now follow emerging debates on AI safety and standards, and can contribute to international AI governance initiatives. Engagement in this area could also complement Pakistan's broader efforts to develop its digital and technological capabilities.
- Fourth, the emphasis on crisis communication and prevention offers a broader lesson for regional security. Institutionalized communication channels can help manage strategic competition and reduce the risks of misunderstanding, miscalculation and unintended escalation. Pakistan should continue supporting dialogue, confidence-building and peaceful management of differences in regional and international forums.
- Finally, Pakistan should adopt a forward-looking approach to the evolving China-U.S. relationship. It should regularly assess how changes in bilateral trade, technology competition, global governance and strategic stability may affect Pakistan's economic and security interests. At the same time, Islamabad should identify areas where China-U.S. cooperation—particularly in AI, trade, technology and global governance—could generate opportunities consistent with Pakistan's own development priorities.

Conclusion

The September 2026 Xi-Trump summit suggests a shift from managing China-U.S. relations through episodic negotiations towards a more structured approach to managing strategic competition. The value of the eight outcomes will therefore be measured not by the breadth of the commitments announced, but by whether they create durable way outs when disagreements arise. The immediate outcome is a degree of greater predictability, but not a resolution of rivalry. Sustaining this limited stability will require both sides to manage areas of competition carefully, maintain channels of communication, and implement the agreed mechanisms effectively. For Pakistan and other middle and developing powers, a more predictable China-U.S. relationship could create greater room for economic and diplomatic engagement while reducing the risks posed by major-power confrontation. The emerging framework should therefore be viewed as an opportunity for managing competition rather than as an end to strategic rivalry.